arXiv:1511.00623v1 [math.CO] 2 Nov 2015

THE SEPARATED BOX PRODUCT OF TWO DIGRAPHS

PRIMOŽ POTOČNIK AND STEVE WILSON

Abstract. A new product construction of graphs and digraphs, based on the standard box product of graphs and called the separated box product, is presented, and several of its properties are discussed. Questions about the symmetries of the product and their relations to symmetries of the factor graphs are considered. An application of this construction to the case of tetravalent edge-transitive graphs is discussed in detail.

1. Introduction

A *dart* (also known as an *arc*) of a graph Γ is an ordered pair of adjacent vertices of Γ, and the set of all darts of Γ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$. A graph Γ is *dart-transitive* provided that its symmetry group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$.

It was proved in [13] that apart from a well-understood infinite family of graphs and finitely many exceptions, the order of the vertex-stabiliser G_v in the symmetry group G of a connected tetravalent dart-transitive graph of order n satisfies the inequality $n \geq 2|G_v| \log_2(|G_v|/2)$. The largest amongst the finite set of exceptions is a graph (let's denote it by Γ_{8100}) of order 8100 (appearing in the last line of [13, Table 2]) whose symmetry group is isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{P\Gamma L}(2,9) \,\mathrm{wr}\, C_2$, and has the vertex-stabiliser of large order, 512.

This graph was originally constructed as a coset graph of the group $\mathrm{P\Gamma L}(2,9) \,\mathrm{wr}\, C_2$, but an interesting combinatorial construction of Γ_{8100} was also given in [13, Section 2.2]: For a graph Λ, let $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$, the "squared-arc graph" of Λ, be the graph with vertex-set being $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and with two vertices $(x,y),(w,z) \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ adjacent in $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$ if and only if $y = w$, $x = (v_1, v_2)$ and $z = (v_2, v_3)$ for some vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 of Λ such that $v_1 \neq v_3$. The graph Γ_{8100} is then isomorphic to the graph $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$, where Λ is the Tutte 8-cage (the unique dart-transitive 3-regular graph on 30 vertices with girth 8).

It is not at all obvious in what way (if at all) the symmetry group of the Tutte's 8-cage Λ, isomorphic to $\mathrm{P\Gamma L}(2,9)$, yields the group $\mathrm{P\Gamma L}(2,9) \,\mathrm{wr}\, C_2$ acting on $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$.

Our endeavour to understand the relationship between $\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda))$ led us to a discovery of a surprisingly simple product operation on digraphs, called the *separated box product*, which significantly generalises the $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}$ construction on one hand and explains many symmetries that $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$ possesses on the other hand.

It is the aim of this paper to present the separated box product construction and some of its properties. The construction is described in Section 3.1. In Section 3.2, the symmetry properties of the resulting (di)graph are discussed, and in Section 3.3 the question of its connectedness of is addressed. The relationship between the $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20B25.

Key words and phrases. digraph, graph, transitive, product.

Supported in part by the Slovenian Research Agency, projects J1-5433, J1-6720, and P1-0294.

construction and the separated box product is explained in Section 4. Here, we prove the first of the two major theorems in this paper, Theorem 4.4, which uses the separated box product to explain the symmetry groups of the graphs constructed as above from sufficiently symmetric cubic graphs. Finally, in Section 5, the case where the separated box product yields a tetravalent edge-transitive graphs is discussed in detail. In this section, we prove Theorem 5.1, which determines the possibilities for the symmetry type of the product based on the relationships between the factor digraphs and their reverses.

2. Definitions

In many parts of graph theory, it is more natural to define ideas in terms of directed graphs and then consider a *graph* to be just a special case of a digraph. We will take that approach here. A *digraph* is a pair $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D})$ in which $\mathcal{V}$ is a finite non-empty collection of things called *vertices* and $\mathcal{D}$ is a collection of ordered pairs of distinct vertices. An element (u, v) of $\mathcal{D}$ will be called a *dart* with *initial* vertex u and *terminal* vertex v, and we will picture it as an arrow leading from u to v. We let $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{V}$ in this case. A 2-*dart* of a digraph $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D})$ is a pair (x, y) of darts in $\mathcal{D}$ such that the terminal vertex of x coincides with the initial vertex of y while the initial vertex of x does not coincide with the terminal vertex of y.

The *out-neighbourhood* and the *in-neighbourhood* of a vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$ are defined as the sets $\{u \in \mathcal{V} : (v, u) \in \mathcal{D}\}$ and $\{u \in \mathcal{V} : (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}\}$ and denoted $\Gamma^+(v)$ and $\Gamma^-(v)$, respectively. The cardinalities of $\Gamma^+(v)$ and $\Gamma^-(v)$ are called the *out-valence* and the *in-valence* of v, respectively. A vertex of out-valence (in-valence) 0 is called a *sink* (*source*, respectively). A digraph in which the in-valence as well as the out-valence of every vertex is equal some constant k is called k-*valent*.

For a digraph $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D})$ and a subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$, let $\mathcal{A}^{-1} = \{(u, v) : (v, u) \in \mathcal{D}\}$, and let Γ^{-1} be the digraph $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D}^{-1})$, called the *reverse of* Γ. If Γ^{-1} is isomorphic to Γ, we say that Γ is *reversible* and any isomorphism between Γ and Γ^{-1} is called a *reversal* of Γ. A digraph Γ such that $\Gamma = \Gamma^{-1}$ is called a *graph*. If (u, v) is a dart of a graph Γ, then so is (v, u); in this case, we call the pair $\{(u, v), (v, u)\}$ an *edge* of the graph and denote it by uv (or vu). We picture uv as an undirected link joining u and v.

At the other extreme, if $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{-1}$ are disjoint, we call $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D})$ an *orientation*. The *underlying graph* of a digraph $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D})$ is the graph $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{D}^{-1})$, denoted $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$.

A digraph is said to be *connected* provided that its underlying graph is connected and it is said to be *bipartite* if its underlying graph is bipartite.

The set of all permutations of a given set Ω will be denoted by $\mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$. For $g \in \mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, the image of ω under g will be written as ω^g, while the product of two permutations $g, h \in \mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$ is defined by $\omega^{(gh)} = (\omega^g)^h$.

A *symmetry* (or an *symmetry*) of a digraph $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D})$ is a permutation of $\mathcal{V}$ which, in its natural action on $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$, preserves $\mathcal{D}$. The symmetries of Γ, together with the above defined product, form a group, denoted $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Note that any reversal of Γ normalises $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and a product of two reversals is always a symmetry of Γ. The set $\mathrm{Aut}^*(\Gamma)$ of all symmetries and all reversals of Γ thus forms a group in which $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ has index at most two.

If Γ is a digraph and $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that G is transitive on $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ ($\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$), then Γ is said to be *G-vertex-transitive* (*G-dart-transitive*, respectively).

The *box product*, also known as the *Cartesian product* [5] of two digraphs Γ_1 and Γ_2, denoted by $\Gamma_1 \Box \Gamma_2$, is the digraph with vertex-set $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma_1) \times \mathcal{V}(\Gamma_2)$ and a pair $\big((v_1, v_2), (u_1, u_2)\big)$ of vertices $(v_1, v_2), (u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma_1) \times \mathcal{V}(\Gamma_2)$ being a dart of $\Gamma_1 \Box \Gamma_2$ if and only if $(v_1, u_1) \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_1)$ and $u_2 = v_2$ (these are the *horizontal* edges) or $v_1 = u_1$ and $(v_2, u_2) \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2)$ (these are the *vertical* edges).

3. The Separated Box Product

3.1. **Construction and basic properties.** We define here a construction, the *separated box product* of two digraphs, which generalizes that of $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$.

For digraphs $\Gamma_1 = (\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{D}_1)$ and $\Gamma_2 = (\mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{D}_2)$ we define a digraph $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, and darts in $\mathcal{D}$ are all $((a, x, 0), (b, x, 1))$ where $(a, b) \in \mathcal{D}_1, x \in \mathcal{V}_2$, together with all $((a, x, 1), (a, y, 0))$ where $a \in \mathcal{V}_1, (x, y) \in \mathcal{D}_2$. We refer to Γ as $\mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$. Figure 1 shows some of the darts in Γ.



Figure 1. Edges in the $\mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$

As we shall see, $\Gamma = \mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ is a digraph which is often disconnected (see Section 3.3). If Γ is sufficiently symmetric, the components are isomorphic. In this case, we will use the notation $\Gamma_1 \# \Gamma_2$ to stand for a single connected component of the underlying graph of Γ.

In accordance with Figure 1, we refer to vertices with third coordinate 0 as *white* vertices and those with third coordinate 1 as *black*. Further, we refer to darts of the first type as *horizontal darts*, and those of the second type as *vertical darts*, just as we do in the ordinary box product.

Identifying each $(a, x, 0)$ with $(a, x, 1)$ results in the ordinary box product of the two digraphs, hence the name of the construction.

Since horizontal darts always point from a white vertex to a black vertex, the reverse of a horizontal dart is never a dart of Γ. Similarly, since vertical darts always point from a black vertex to a white vertex, the reverse of a vertical dart is never a dart. This shows that the separated box product of two digraphs is an orientation.

Furthermore, observe that the out- and in-valence of a white vertex $(a, x, 0)$ are equal to the out-valence of a in Γ_1 and the in-valence of x in Γ_2, respectively. Similarly, the out- and in-valence of a black vertex $(a, x, 1)$ equal the out-valence of x in Γ_2 and the in-valence of a in Γ_1, respectively. In particular, if both Γ_1 and Γ_2 are k-valent, then so is $\mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$.

3.2. **Symmetries of the separated box product.** The separated box product clearly inherits some symmetries from its parents. The following result is straightforward and the proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be digraphs without sources and sinks, let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$, and let $G_j \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_j)$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Then, by letting*

$$(a, x, i)^{(g_1, g_2)} = (a^{g_1}, x^{g_2}, i)$$

for every (a, x, i) of Γ and every $(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$, the group $G_1 \times G_2$ acts faithfully on Γ as a group of symmetries. Moreover:

(i) *If G_1 and G_2 act transitively on the vertices of the respective digraphs, then $G_1 \times G_2$ has two orbits on the vertices of Γ, one being the set of white vertices and the other the set of black vertices.*
(ii) *If G_1 and G_2 act transitively on the darts of the respective digraphs, then $G_1 \times G_2$ has two orbits on the darts of Γ, one being the set of horizontal darts and the other the set of vertical darts.*

If Γ_1 and Γ_2 possess reversals σ_1 and σ_2, respectively, then the permutation σ of $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$, defined by

$$(a, x, i)^{\sigma} = (a^{\sigma_1}, x^{\sigma_2}, 1 - i),$$

is a reversal of Γ.

Observe that the reversal σ in the above lemma interchanges the color classes of vertices in $\mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$. Hence an obvious corollary:

Corollary 3.2. *Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be digraphs without sources and sinks, possessing reversals σ_1 and σ_2, let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$, and let $G_j \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_j)$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$ be transitive the darts of the respective digraphs. Furthermore, let $G_1 \times G_2$ and σ act upon Γ as in Lemma 3.1.*

Then $\langle G_1 \times G_2, \sigma \rangle$ acts vertex-transitively as a group of symmetries on the underlying graph $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ of Γ and has two orbits on the darts and on the edges of $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$, these being the sets of vertical and of horizontal darts (edges) of $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$. Moreover if both Γ_1 and Γ_2 are of valence at least 2, the stabiliser of a dart in $G_1 \times G_2$ is non-trivial.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $G_1 \times G_2$ is transitive on horizontal and on vertical darts, as well as on white and on black vertices. On the other hand, σ interchanges the color-classes of vertices (while preserving horizontal edges), implying that $\langle G_1 \times G_2, \sigma \rangle$ is vertex-transitive and that it has 2 orbits on the darts and on the edges of $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$.

Suppose now that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are of valence at least 2. Let $\big((a, x, 0), (b, x, 1)\big)$ be a horizontal dart of Γ. Since Γ_2 is dart-transitive and of valence at least 2, there is some nontrivial symmetry $g_x \in G_2$ fixing $x \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma_2)$. Now let g be the permutation of $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ mapping a vertex (u, v, i) of Γ to the vertex (u, v^{g_x}, i). Then g is clearly a non-trivial symmetry of Γ which fixes the horizontal dart $\big((a, x, 0), (b, x, 1)\big)$. By exchanging the roles of Γ_1 and Γ_2 one can prove in the same manner that the stabiliser of any vertical dart is non-trivial. □

If Γ_2 is isomorphic to either Γ_1 or to Γ_1^{-1}, more symmetries of $\mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ and its underlying graph arise naturally. The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 3.3. *For a digraph Δ, let τ and μ be the permutations of $\mathcal{V}(\Delta)\times\mathcal{V}(\Delta)\times\mathbb{Z}_2$ defined by*

$$(a,x,i)^\tau = (x,a,1-i) \quad \text{and} \quad (a,x,i)^\mu = (x,a,i).$$

Then τ is an symmetry of $\mathrm{SBP}(\Delta,\Delta)$ and μ is a reversal of $\mathrm{SBP}(\Delta,\Delta^{-1})$.

This, together with Lemma 3.1, yields the following two results:

Corollary 3.4. *Let Δ be a digraph without sources and sinks admitting a dart-transitive group of symmetries G, let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SBP}(\Delta,\Delta)$, let $G\times G$ act upon Γ as in Lemma 3.1, and let τ be as in Lemma 3.3. Then $\langle G\times G,\tau\rangle$ acts transitively on the darts of Γ and is isomorphic to the wreath product $G\,wr\,C_2 \cong (G\times G)\rtimes C_2$.*

Moreover, if Δ is reversible with a reversal $\tilde\sigma$ and σ is the reversal of Γ guaranteed by Lemma 3.1, acting as

$$(a,x,i)^\sigma = (a^{\tilde\sigma}, x^{\tilde\sigma}, 1-i),$$

then the group $\langle G\times G,\tau,\sigma\rangle$ acts dart-transitively on the underlying graph $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$.

Corollary 3.5. *Let Δ be a digraph without sources and sinks admitting a dart-transitive group of symmetries G, let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SBP}(\Delta,\Delta^{-1})$, let $G\times G$ act upon Γ as in Lemma 3.1, and let μ be the reversal of Γ guaranteed by Lemma 3.3. Then $\langle G\times G,\mu\rangle$ acts edge-transitvely but not vertex-transitively on the underlying graph $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$.*

Remark. If Δ in Corollary 3.5 is reversible, then $\mathrm{SBP}(\Delta,\Delta^{-1}) \cong \mathrm{SBP}(\Delta,\Delta)$, and we may apply Corollary 3.4 to conclude that Γ is dart-transitive.

3.3. **Connectivity of the separated box product.** In order to determine the number and size of connected components of $\mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1,\Gamma_2)$, we need to introduce some tools pertaining to digraphs, first introduced in [11, Section 2] and further developed in [7] (see also [8] for further applications of the technique). The approach we give here, restricting our attention to orientations, is a slightly simplified form of the notions in [11]. We point out, however, that all the results mentioned in this subsection (including Theorem 3.7) apply to general digraphs as well.

A *walk* from a vertex v_0 to a vertex v_k in an orientation Γ is a sequence $W = v_0\dots v_k$ of vertices v_i such that for each $i\in\{1,\dots,k\}$ exactly one of (v_{i-1},v_i) or (v_i,v_{i-1}) is a dart of Γ; in the first case we say that (v_{i-1},v_i) is *positive in* W and otherwise it is *negative in* W. A walk is *directed* if all of its darts are positive in it.

The *sum* of the walk is the difference between the number of positive and the number of negative darts in the walk. For two vertices v and u we say that they are *alter-related* if there exists a walk from u to v of sum 0. The relation "is alter related to" is an equivalence relation, and which we will denote alt. The number of equivalence classes of alt on $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ is called the *alter-perimeter* of Γ.

Is k consecutive darts in a walk W are all positive (negative) in W, then we say that the walk they induce in *positive* (*negative*, respectively) in W. If a walk of sum 0 is a concatenation of subwalks, all of the same length k for some k, that are alternatively positive and negative in W, then we say that the walk is in a *standard form* with *tolerance* k. Note that, if If $v_0\dots v_m$ is a walk of sum 0 and (v_i,u) or (u,v_i) is a dart of Γ, then the insertion of the walk v_iuv_i results in walk $v_0\dots v_iuv_i\dots v_m$ of sum 0, implying that after insertion of an appropriate set of such walks, one obtains a walk from v_0 to v_m of sum 0 which is in standard form. Hence, we have the following:

Lemma 3.6. *If u and v are in the same alter class of an orientation Γ, then for any sufficiently large integer k, there exists a walk of sum 0 from u to v in standard form with tolerance k.*

If Γ is an orientation of alter-perimeter m and has neither sources nor sinks, then the alt-equivalence classes can be indexed by $\mathbb{Z}_m$ in such a way that every dart points from a class indexed i to the class indexed $i+1$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$; in other words, the quotient digraph of Γ with respect to alt is a directed cycle of length m. (Here we allow $m = 1$ and view the cycle as a digraph with one vertex and a loop attached to it.)

Theorem 3.7. *Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be two connected orientations without sources and sinks of alter-perimeters s and t, respectively. Then $\mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ consists of $\gcd(s,t)$ connected components.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ and fix a white vertex $(a, x, 0)$ of Γ. Label the alter-classes of Γ_1 and Γ_2 by $\mathbb{Z}_s$ and $\mathbb{Z}_t$ in such a way that a and x are in the classes labelled 0 and every dart with its initial vertex in class i has its terminal vertex in class $i+1$. Further, for each $b \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma_1)$ from the alter-class labelled $i \in \mathbb{Z}_s$ and $y \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma_2)$ from the alter-class labelled $j \in \mathbb{Z}_t$, label the two vertices $(b, y, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, by $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_t$. Now observe that a horizontal dart of Γ points from a white vertex with label (i, j) to a black vertex with label $(i+1, j)$ for some $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_t$, while a vertical dart points from a black vertex with label (i, j) to a white vertex with label $(i, j+1)$. Therefore, a walk of length 2 starting in a white vertex with label (i, j) ends in a vertex with label (i, j) if it consists of two vertical or of two horizontal darts (and the sum of the walk is then 0), ends in a vertex with label $(i+1, j+1)$ if it first traverses a horizontal and then a vertical dart (and the sum of the walk is then 2), and ends in a vertex with label $(i-1, j-1)$ if it first traverses a vertical and then a horizontal dart (and the sum of the walk is then -2). From this, the following can be deduced immediately:

A walk between two white vertices in Γ has sum $2i$ for some integer i and the labels of the two white vertices then differ by $(i, i) \in \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_t$. (Here the element (i, i) should be interpreted as $(i \bmod s, i \bmod t)$.)

From this, it follows that the connected component of Γ that contains the vertex $(a, x, 0)$ can contain only those white vertices that have labels within the subgroup $\langle (1,1) \rangle$ generated by the element $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_t$. On the other hand, since every directed walk of length $2i$ starting in a white vertex with label $(0, 0)$ will end in a white vertex with label (i, i), it follows that:

For every element $(i, i) \in \langle (1,1) \rangle$, there is at least one white vertex in the component of $(a, x, 0)$ with label (i, i).

Let us now show that in fact all white vertices with label contained in $\langle (1,1) \rangle$ are in the same component as $(a, x, 0)$. Take a white vertex $(b, y, 0)$ with label $(i, i) \in \langle (1,1) \rangle$ and let $(b', y', 0)$ be the final vertex of a directed walk of length $2i$ starting in $(a, x, 0)$. Observe that the label of $(b', y', 0)$ is then (i, i), implying that b and b' (y and y') are two vertices of Γ_1 (Γ_2) that belong to the same alter-class of Γ_1 (Γ_2, respectively). In particular, for some integer k, there is a walk $W_1 = b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{2\ell k}$ of sum 0 in Γ_1 with $b_0 = b'$ and $b_{2\ell k} = b$, and a walk $W_2 = y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{2\ell k}$ of sum 0 in Γ_2 with $y_0 = y'$ and $y_{2\ell k} = y$, both these walk being in the standard form and tolerance k.

We shall now construct a walk from $(a, x, 0)$ to $(b, y, 0)$ in Γ by alternatingly using darts from W_1 and W_2; that is, for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, let

$$\begin{aligned} U_{r,i} &= (b_{2rk+i}, y_{2rk+i}, 0)\,(b_{2rk+i+1}, y_{2rk+i}, 1), \\ U^*_{r,i} &= (b_{(2r+1)k+i}, y_{(2r+1)k+1+i}, 1)\,(b_{(2r+1)k+1+i}, y_{(2r+1)k+1+i}, 0), \end{aligned}$$

and observe that the concatenation

$$W_r = U_{r,0}\, U_{r,1}\, \ldots\, U_{r,k-1}\, U^*_{r,0}\, U^*_{r,1}\, \ldots\, U^*_{r,k-1}.$$

is a walk in Γ of sum 0 from $(b_{2rk}, y_{2rk}, 0)$ to $(b_{2(r+1)k}, y_{2(r+1)k}, 0)$. Finally, by concatenating the walks W_r (where the end-vertex of W_{r-1} is identified with the start-vertex of W_r for every $r \in \{1, \ldots, \ell-1\}$, one obtains a walk $W_0\, W_1\, \ldots, W_{\ell-1}$ in Γ of sum 0 from $(b_0, y_0, 0) = (b', y', 0)$ to $(b_{2\ell k}, y_{2\ell k}, 0) = (b, y, 0)$. In particular, $(b, y, 0)$ is in the same connected component of Γ as $(a, x, 0)$. We have thus shown that the set of white vertices that are in the same component of Γ as a white vertex with label $(0, 0)$ is precisely the set of white vertices with label within the group $\langle(1, 1)\rangle \leq \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_t$.

If we chose the labelling of the alter-classes of Γ_1 and Γ_2 in such a way that a and x had label $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_s$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_t$ (rather than 0 and 0), the label of a vertex $(b, y, 0)$ that had label (i, j) in the original labelling then receives the label $(i + \alpha, j + \beta)$. By the result that we proved above, it follows that two white vertices belong to the same component of Γ if and only if their label differ by an element of the group $\langle(1, 1)\rangle \leq \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_t$. This implies that the number of connected component of Γ equals the number of cosets of $\langle(1, 1)\rangle$ in $\mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_t$, which is clearly $\gcd(s, t)$. □

4. Relationship with $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$

Next, we wish to explain the motivating construction $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$, mentioned in Section 1, in terms of the separated box product.

Let us first define the directed version of the $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}$ construction: For a graph Λ, let $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ be the digraph with vertex-set $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and with a pair $\big((x, y), (z, w)\big)$, $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$, forming a dart of $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ if and only if $y = z$ and (x, w) is a 2-dart of Λ. Note that the graph $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$ is then just the underlying graph of $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$.

Following [6], for a digraph Γ, we let the *dart digraph of* Γ (denoted $\mathrm{D}\Gamma$) be the the digraph with vertices and darts being the darts and 2-darts of Γ, respectively. Note that the dart digraph is always reversible with a reversal which maps a dart (u, v) to its inverse dart (v, u).

The *canonical double cover* of a digraph Γ is the digraph $\mathrm{CDC}(\Gamma)$ with vertex-set being $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and dart-set $\{((u, i), (v, 1 - i)) : (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma), i \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}$. Note that for a connected digraph Γ, the digraph $\mathrm{CDC}(\Gamma)$ has two or one connected components, depending on whether Γ is bipartite or not. In the case Γ is bipartite, it is isomorphic to each of the connected components of $\mathrm{CDC}(\Gamma)$.

Recall that $\Gamma_1 \# \Gamma_2$ denotes a connected component of the underlying graph of $\mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$. We can now state the result explaining the relationship between the $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}$-construction and the separated box product:

Lemma 4.1. *If Λ is a connected cubic graph, then $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda) \cong \mathrm{D}\Lambda \# \mathrm{D}\Lambda$, and moreover, $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)) \cong \mathrm{SBP}(\mathrm{D}\Lambda, \mathrm{D}\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Consider the mapping $\varphi\colon \mathcal{V}(\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda))) \to \mathcal{V}(\mathrm{SBP}(\mathrm{D}\Lambda, \mathrm{D}\Lambda))$ defined by

$$\varphi((x,y),0) = (x,y,0) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi((x,y),1) = (y,x,1)$$

for every pair of darts $x, y \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$. It is a matter of straightforward calculation to show that φ is an isomorphism between the two digraphs.

Since $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ is connected, it is isomorphic to a connected component of its canonical double cover, and therefore isomorphic to a connected component of $\mathrm{SBP}(\mathrm{D}\Lambda, \mathrm{D}\Lambda)$; in particular, $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda) \cong \mathrm{U}\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda) \cong \mathrm{D}\Lambda \# \mathrm{D}\Lambda$, as claimed. □

Lemma 4.1 allows us to use the information about the symmetries of the separated box product to explain the unexpectedly large symmetry group of the graph $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$.

Assume henceforth that Λ is a connected cubic graph and that $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda)$ acts transitively on the 2-darts of Λ. Let $\Delta = \mathrm{D}\Lambda$ and let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SBP}(\Delta, \Delta)$. Then Δ is a connected 2-valent digraph with G acting dart-transitively on Δ as a group of symmetries.

Let $G \times G$ and τ act upon $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ as in Corollary 3.4; that is, let

$$(x,y,i)^{(g,h)} = (x^g, y^h, i) \quad \text{and} \quad (x,y,i)^\tau = (y,x,1-i)$$

for every pair $x, y \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then, by Corollary 3.4, the group

$$Y = \langle G \times G, \tau \rangle \cong G \operatorname{wr} C_2$$

acts on Γ as a dart-transitive group of symmetries.

Note that Δ admits a reversal ι mapping a dart $x = (u,v)$ of Λ to its inverse dart $x^{-1} = (v,u)$. By Corollary 3.4, the reversal ι of Δ gives rise to the reversal σ of Γ satisfying the formula

$$(x,y,i)^\sigma = (x^{-1}, y^{-1}, 1-i),$$

and the group

$$X = \langle G \times G, \tau, \sigma \rangle$$

acts on the underlying graph $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ dart-transitively. Since σ commutes with τ and with each element of $G \times G$, the group X is isomorphic to $\langle G \times G, \tau \rangle \times \langle \sigma \rangle \cong (G \operatorname{wr} C_2) \times C_2$. Since, by Lemma 4.1, $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)) \cong \Gamma$, the groups Y and X may be viewed as acting dart-transitively on $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda))$ and $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda))$, respectively.

Let us now move our attention to the symmetries of $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$. Observe first that dart-transitivity of the canonical double cover does not necessarily imply dart-transitivity of the base graph, as, for example, observed in [12] and further analysed in [24, 26]. This phenomenon is caused by existence of *unexpected symmetries* of the canonical double cover that do not respect the partition of the vertices of the cover into fibres.

As it happens, the digraph $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda))$, as well as its underlying graph $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda))$, *always* possesses unexpected symmetries: For $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda)$, the mapping which maps a vertex $((x,y),0)$ of $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda))$ to $((x^g,y),0)$ and a vertex $((x,y),1)$ to $((x,y^g),1)$ is an unexpected symmetry of $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda))$. Considering the isomorphism of Lemma 4.1, this is equivalent to the symmetry(g, I) of $\mathrm{SBP}(\mathrm{D}\Lambda, \mathrm{D}\Lambda)$, where I stands for the trivial symmetry.

Due to these unexpected symmetries, one cannot conclude that $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ is dart-transitive. In fact, in the case when $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ (and consequently Λ) is not bipartite

(that is, when $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda))$ is connected), the digraph $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ and its underlying graph $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$ are indeed not dart-transitive.

However, when Λ (and consequently $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$) is bipartite, then $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda))$ decomposes into two connected components, say Ω and Ω', each isomorphic to $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$. Then the set-wise stabiliser Y_Ω of Ω acts dart-transitively on $\Omega \cong \mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ and the set-wise stabiliser X_Ω acts dart-transitively on the underlying graph $\mathrm{U}\Omega \cong \mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$. Hence the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *If Λ is a connected bipartite cubic 2-dart-transitive graph, then $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ is a connected 2-valent reversible dart-transitive digraph and its underlying graph $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$ is a connected tetravalent dart-transitive graph.*

Let us analyse the groups Y_Ω and X_Ω in more detail. Assume for the rest of this section that Λ is a connected bipartite cubic graph admitting a 2-dart-transitive group of symmetries G, and let σ, τ, Y and X be as above. In view of the isomorphism given in the proof of Lemma 4.1, $G \times G$, σ and τ may be viewed to act upon the vertices of $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda))$ according to:

$$((x,y),0)^{(g_1,g_2)} = ((x^{g_1},y^{g_2}),0),\ \ ((x,y),1)^{(g_1,g_2)} = ((x^{g_2},y^{g_1}),1) \ \text{ for } \ g_1,g_2 \in G,$$
$$((x,y),i)^{\sigma} = ((y^{-1},x^{-1}),1-i), \quad ((x,y),i)^{\tau} = ((x,y),1-i).$$

Colour the vertices of Λ properly black and white and let a dart of Λ inherit the colour of its initial vertex; this then determines a proper colouring of the vertices of $\mathrm{D}\Lambda$. Further, colour a vertex (x,y) of $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ *blue* if the darts x, y of Λ are of the same colour (either black or white), and *red* otherwise; this is then a proper colouring of the vertices of $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$. Thus, $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda))$ has two connected components, one of them containing all the vertices $((x,y),0)$ with (x,y) blue and all the vertices $((x,y),1)$ with (x,y) red; let us denote this component by Ω, and the other by Ω'. Note that the mapping ψ from $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ to Ω mapping a blue vertex (x,y) to $((x,y),0)$ and a red vertex (x,y) to $((x,y),1)$ gives rise to an isomorphism between these two digraphs.

Let H be the index-2 subgroup of G preserving the set of black vertices Λ. Observe that $H \times H$, in its action on $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda))$, preserves Ω, and thus $H \times H \leq Y_\Omega$. On the other hand, the element τ maps Ω to Ω', implying that $\tau \in Y \setminus Y_\Omega$.

Further, take $\alpha \in G \setminus H$, and observe that the elements $(\alpha,1)$ and $(1,\alpha)$ of $G \times G$, in their action on $\mathrm{CDC}(\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda))$, switch Ω and Ω', implying that $(\alpha,\alpha), (1,\alpha)\tau$ and $(\alpha,1)\tau$ belong to Y_Ω.

Finally, observe that the reversal σ interchanges Ω and Ω', implying that $\tau\sigma \in X_\Omega$. Now consider the groups

$$A = \langle H \times H, (\alpha,\alpha), (1,\alpha)\tau \rangle \quad \text{ and } \quad B = \langle A, \tau\sigma \rangle,$$

and observe that $\langle A, \tau \rangle = \langle G \times G, \tau \rangle = Y$. By the preceding discussion, we see that $A \leq Y_\Omega$ and $B \leq X_\Omega$. Observe also that $H \times H$ is normal and has index 4 in A, implying that $|A| = 4|H \times H| = |G \times G| = |Y_\Omega|$, and showing that $A = Y_\Omega$. Similarly, since $|X : Y| = |A : B| = 2$, implying that $B = X_\Omega$. Finally, since $|X : X_\Omega| = 2$ we see that $X = \langle B, \tau \rangle$. Before proceeding, let us prove the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let A be a normal subgroup of a group X such that $X/A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$, and let $b, d \in X$ be such that $X = \langle A, b, d \rangle$, $b^2, d^2, (bd)^2 \in A$. Suppose that d is central in X. Then $\langle A, b \rangle \cong \langle A, bd \rangle$.*

Proof. Note that each element of $\langle A, b\rangle$ can be write uniquely in the form ab^i for some $a \in A$ and $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $\varphi\colon \langle A, b\rangle \to \langle A, bd\rangle$ be a mapping which maps such an element to ab^id^i. Then

$$\varphi(a_1b^{i_1} \cdot a_2b^{i_2}) = \varphi(a_1a_2^{b^{-i_1}}b^{i_1+i_2}) = a_1a_2^{b^{-i_1}}b^{i_1+i_2}d^{i_1+i_2} = a_1b^{i_1}d^{i_1}a_2b^{i_2}d^{i_2},$$

which clearly equals $\varphi(a_1b^{i_1})\varphi(a_2b^{i_2})$, showing that φ is a group homomorphism, and in fact, isomorphism. □

Now, since τ and σ are commuting involutions which normalise A, and since $X = \langle A, \tau, \sigma\rangle$, we see that $X/A \cong C_2 \times C_2$. Since σ is central in X, it now follows from Lemma 4.3 that $\langle A, \tau\sigma\rangle \cong \langle A, \tau\rangle$, and hence $B \cong Y \cong G \operatorname{wr} C_2$.

The above discussion can be summarised as the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Let Λ be a connected cubic bipartite graph admitting a 2-dart-transitive group of symmetries G. With the notation as above, it follows that $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{D}(\Lambda)$ admits a dart-transitive group of symmetries isomorphic to A, and the underlying graph $\mathrm{A}^2\mathrm{G}(\Lambda)$ admits a dart-transitive group of symmetries isomorphic to B, which itself if isomorphic to $G\, wr C_2$.*

5. Application to tetravalent edge-transitive graphs

While the separated box product is a construction that applies to digraphs of all valences, we are most interested in its contributions to the *Census of edge-transitive teravalent graphs* [20], a long-term project the aim of which is to compile an extensive, possibly exhaustive list of small tetravalent edge-transitive graphs, and explain the way in which such graphs arise.

It is well known that tetravalent edge-transitive graphs fall into three classes:

First class consists of *dart-transitive* graphs, that is those Γ, where $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$. These are the most widely studied class of tetravalent edge-transitive graphs; and due to a recent progress in [13], all such graphs of order at most 640 are now known [16] and included in the on-line census [20]. For convenience, we say that dart-transitive graphs have *symmetry type DT*.

The second class consists of *half-transitive* graphs Γ (also known in the literature as *half-arc-transitive* graphs), in which $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acts transitively on the edges, vertices, but not on the darts of Γ. This family of graphs was first considered by Tutte [25], who observed that each such graph is the underlying graphs of a dart-transitive 2-valent digraph. Later, these graph received considerable attention; see [1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 21, 23], for example. Using results from [22] all tetravalent half-transitive graphs (and what is more, all 2-valent dart-transitive digraphs) of order at most 1000 are now known [14]. We will say that half-transitive graphs have *symmetry type HT*.

The third class consists of the *semisymmetric* graphs Γ, where $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is transitive on the edges, but neither on darts nor on the vertices of Γ; the first example of such a graph was found by Folkman [4]. It is well known and easy to show that these graphs are necessarily bipartite with each part of the bipartition forming a vertex-orbit of the symmetry group. Unlike the dart-transitive and half-transitive counterparts, the tetravalent semisymmetric graphs seem to be harder to enumerate. No exhaustive list of such graphs of small order (not even up to order 100, say), exists so far. We also say that semisymmetric graphs have *symmetry type SS*.

As was shown in [17], the family of tetravalent semisymmetric graphs is closely related to another class of vertex-transitive tetravalent graphs, the class of *linking ring structures*, which we now define:

Let Γ be a tetravalent graph and let $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. If G is transitive on the vertices, has two orbits on the edges as well as on the darts of Γ and if the stabiliser of any dart of Γ in G is non-trivial, then Γ is called a *G-linking-rings structure*, or G-LR, for short. (Note that this implies that $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is the Klein 4-group in its intransitive action on 4 points.) If Γ is $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$-LR, then we say that it is of *symmetry type LR*. Note that the symmetry type of a G-LR graph is LR or DT.

Let us mention that each G-LR yields a bipartite edge-transitive tetravalent graph of girth 4 via a the *partial line graph construction* (see [17] for details), and if the G-LR is suitable, this tetravalent graph is of type SS (and of type DT otherwise). Conversely, every tetravalent semisymmetric graph of girth 4 is contained in this way. For more information on LR graphs, see [18, 19].

In order to construct a tetravalent graph of one of the above mentioned symmetry types, one can try to take two 2-valent dart-transitive digraphs Γ_1 and Γ_2 and apply the SBP-construction to them. Corollaries 3.2, 3.4, and 3.5, then assure certain symmetries of the underlying graph $\mathrm{USBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$; we shall refer to the symmetries predicted by these three corollaries as *expected symmetries* and the symmetry type that $\mathrm{USBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ would have if it only had expected symmetries will be called the *expected symmetry type*.

Theorem 5.1. *For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let Γ_i be a connected G_i-dart-transitve 2-valent digraph, Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SBP}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ and let $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ be the underlying graph of Γ. Then the following holds:*

(i) *If $\Gamma_1 \ncong \Gamma_2$, $\Gamma_1 \ncong \Gamma_2^{-1}$ and both Γ_1 and Γ_2 are reversible, then the expected symmetry type of $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ is LR.*
(ii) *If $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_1 \ncong \Gamma_2^{-1}$ (in particular, Γ_1 and Γ_2 are not reversible), then the expected symmetry type of $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ is HT.*
(iii) *If $\Gamma_1 \ncong \Gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2^{-1}$ (in particular, Γ_1 and Γ_2 are not reversible), then the expected symmetry type of $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ is SS.*
(iv) *If $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2^{-1}$ (in particular, Γ_1 and Γ_2 are reversible), then the expected symmetry type of $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ is DT.*

Proof. To prove part (i), observe first that the existence of a group $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{U}\Gamma)$ such that $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ is a G-LR follows directly from Corollary 3.2. The symmetry type of $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ is thus either LR or DT. However, since Γ_1 is not isomorphic neither to Γ_2^{-1} nor to Γ_2, neither Corollary 3.5 nor Corollary 3.4 applies and hence no other expected symmetries exist. The expected symmetry type of $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ is thus LR.

To prove part (ii), note that since $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2 \ncong \Gamma_2^{-1}$, the digraph Γ_1 possesses no reversal, and that neither of Corollary 3.2, Corollary 3.5 or the second paragraph of Corollary 3.4 applies. By the first paragraph of Corollary 3.4, it therefore follows that the expected symmetry type of $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ is HT.

The proof of part (iii) is similar, except that here only Corollary 3.5 applies.

Finally, in view of the Remark below Corollary 3.5, in the case of (iv), the graph $\mathrm{U}\Gamma$ is dart-transitive. □

5.1. **Four Tables.** We conclude with four tables, each one displaying prducts of each of the types mentioned in Theorem 5.1. The orientations used for input in

these products are of two kinds. First, the site [15] (see also [14]) catalogs all 2-valent dart-transitive orientations on up to 1 000 vertices, and "ATD$[n, i]$" refers to the i-th orientation among those of order n in that list. Second, the notation "DCyc$[n]$" means the graph C_n considered as a digraph of in- and out-valence 2.

Other headings in the tables: "vs" stands for the order of a vertex-stabiliser, and "AP" indicates the alter-perimeter of the orientation. Since one is mainly interested in connected graphs, we include properties of the connected component $\Gamma_1\#\Gamma_2$ of SBP(Γ_1, Γ_2)

Table 1 considers products of non-isomorphic reversible digraphs. Theorem 5.1 tells us the expected type is LR, though many of these are in fact DT. Table 2 shows products of a reversible digraph with itself. As the theorem shows, these are all DT. Table 3 shows products of a non-reversible digraph with itself. We expect these to be HT and they all are. Table 4 shows products of a non-reversible digraph with its reverse. We expect these to be SS and they all are.

Δ_1			Δ_2			$\Gamma = \Delta_1 \# \Delta_2$					
Name	V	AP	Name	V	AP	V	AP	vs	girth	diam	SymType
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	DCyc[3]	3	1	36	6	8	4	5	LR
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	DCyc[4]	4	2	48	12	2^{11}	4	6	DT
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	DCyc[5]	5	1	60	6	8	4	6	LR
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	DCyc[6]	6	2	72	12	64	4	6	LR
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	DCyc[7]	7	1	84	6	8	4	6	LR
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	ATD[8, 1]	8	2	96	12	64	4	7	LR
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	ATD[8, 2]	8	4	96	24	2^{23}	4	12	DT
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	DCyc[8]	8	2	96	12	64	4	7	LR
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	ATD[12, 4]	12	3	48	6	64	4	6	LR
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	ATD[18, 3]	18	9	72	18	2^{17}	4	9	DT
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	ATD[24, 7]	24	3	96	6	64	4	6	LR
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	ATD[24, 9]	24	3	96	6	64	4	6	LR
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	ATD[24, 14]	24	6	96	12	2^{15}	4	6	LR
ATD[8, 1]	8	2	DCyc[3]	3	1	48	4	4	6	5	LR
ATD[8, 1]	8	2	DCyc[4]	4	2	32	4	4	4	4	LR
ATD[8, 1]	8	2	DCyc[5]	5	1	80	4	4	6	7	LR
ATD[8, 1]	8	2	ATD[8, 2]	8	4	64	8	16	4	6	LR
ATD[8, 1]	8	2	DCyc[8]	8	2	64	4	4	6	6	LR
ATD[8, 1]	8	2	ATD[10, 1]	10	2	80	4	4	6	5	LR
ATD[8, 1]	8	2	ATD[12, 2]	12	4	96	8	4	6	7	LR
ATD[8, 1]	8	2	ATD[12, 3]	12	2	96	4	4	6	6	LR
ATD[8, 1]	8	2	DCyc[12]	12	2	96	4	4	6	8	LR
ATD[8, 2]	8	4	DCyc[3]	3	1	48	8	16	4	5	LR
ATD[8, 2]	8	4	DCyc[4]	4	2	32	8	2^7	4	4	DT
ATD[8, 2]	8	4	DCyc[5]	5	1	80	8	16	4	7	LR
ATD[8, 2]	8	4	ATD[10, 1]	10	2	80	8	16	4	6	LR
ATD[8, 2]	8	4	ATD[12, 3]	12	2	96	8	16	4	8	LR
ATD[8, 2]	8	4	ATD[16, 2]	16	4	64	8	16	4	6	LR
ATD[8, 2]	8	4	ATD[16, 4]	16	4	64	8	2^9	4	6	LR
ATD[8, 2]	8	4	ATD[16, 5]	16	8	64	16	2^{15}	4	8	DT
ATD[8, 2]	8	4	ATD[24, 3]	24	8	96	16	2^8	4	8	LR
ATD[8, 2]	8	4	ATD[24, 5]	24	4	96	8	16	4	8	LR
ATD[9, 1]	9	3	DCyc[3]	3	1	54	6	24	6	5	DT
ATD[9, 1]	9	3	DCyc[5]	5	1	90	6	4	6	6	LR
ATD[9, 1]	9	3	ATD[12, 4]	12	3	72	6	4	4	6	LR
ATD[10, 1]	10	2	DCyc[3]	3	1	60	4	4	6	6	LR
ATD[10, 1]	10	2	DCyc[4]	4	2	40	4	4	4	5	LR
ATD[10, 1]	10	2	DCyc[5]	5	1	100	4	4	6	8	LR
ATD[10, 1]	10	2	DCyc[8]	8	2	80	4	4	6	7	LR
ATD[10, 2]	10	5	DCyc[3]	3	1	60	10	32	4	5	LR
ATD[10, 2]	10	5	DCyc[4]	4	2	80	20	2^{19}	4	10	DT
ATD[10, 2]	10	5	DCyc[5]	5	1	100	10	32	4	7	LR
ATD[10, 2]	10	5	ATD[20, 4]	20	5	80	10	2^{12}	4	6	LR
ATD[12, 1]	12	1	DCyc[3]	3	1	72	2	4	6	6	LR
ATD[12, 1]	12	1	DCyc[4]	4	2	96	4	2^8	4	7	LR
ATD[12, 2]	12	4	DCyc[3]	3	1	72	8	8	6	6	DT
ATD[12, 2]	12	4	ATD[16, 2]	16	4	96	8	4	6	7	LR
ATD[12, 2]	12	4	ATD[16, 4]	16	4	96	8	8	4	7	LR
ATD[12, 3]	12	2	DCyc[3]	3	1	72	4	4	6	6	LR
ATD[12, 3]	12	2	DCyc[4]	4	2	48	4	4	4	5	LR
ATD[12, 3]	12	2	DCyc[8]	8	2	96	4	4	6	7	LR
ATD[14, 1]	14	7	DCyc[3]	3	1	84	14	2^7	4	7	LR
ATD[15, 2]	15	5	DCyc[3]	3	1	90	10	8	6	6	DT
ATD[16, 3]	16	2	DCyc[3]	3	1	96	4	4	6	7	LR
ATD[16, 3]	16	2	DCyc[4]	4	2	64	4	4	4	6	LR
ATD[18, 2]	18	2	DCyc[4]	4	2	72	4	2^7	4	5	LR
ATD[20, 3]	20	2	DCyc[4]	4	2	80	4	4	4	7	LR
ATD[24, 2]	24	2	DCyc[4]	4	2	96	4	4	4	6	LR
ATD[24, 6]	24	2	DCyc[4]	4	2	96	4	4	4	6	LR
ATD[24, 13]	24	2	DCyc[4]	4	2	96	4	4	4	8	LR

TABLE 1. Small examples of $\Delta_1 \# \Delta_2$ with $\Delta_1 \ncong \Delta_2$, both reversible.

Δ			$\Gamma = \Delta\#\Delta$					
Name	V	AP	V	AP	vs	girth	diam	SymType
ATD[6, 1]	6	3	24	6	32	4	4	DT
ATD[8, 1]	8	2	64	4	8	6	5	DT
ATD[8, 2]	8	4	32	8	2^7	4	4	DT
ATD[9, 1]	9	3	54	6	24	6	5	DT
ATD[10, 1]	10	2	100	4	8	8	6	DT
ATD[10, 2]	10	5	40	10	2^9	4	5	DT
ATD[12, 1]	12	1	288	2	8	6	9	DT
ATD[12, 2]	12	4	72	8	8	6	6	DT
ATD[12, 3]	12	2	144	4	8	6	7	DT
ATD[12, 4]	12	3	96	6	8	4	6	DT
ATD[12, 5]	12	6	48	12	2^{11}	4	6	DT
ATD[14, 1]	14	7	56	14	2^{13}	4	7	DT
ATD[15, 1]	15	3	150	6	8	6	7	DT
; ATD[15, 2]	15	5	90	10	8	6	6	DT
ATD[16, 1]	16	4	128	8	8	6	8	DT
ATD[16, 2]	16	4	128	8	24	6	8	DT
ATD[16, 3]	16	2	256	4	8	6	9	DT
ATD[16, 4]	16	4	128	8	32	4	8	DT
ATD[16, 5]	16	8	64	16	2^{15}	4	8	DT
ATD[18, 1]	18	6	108	12	8	6	6	DT
ATD[18, 2]	18	2	324	4	32	6	7	DT
ATD[18, 3]	18	9	72	18	2^{17}	4	9	DT
ATD[20, 1]	20	4	200	8	8	8	8	DT
ATD[20, 2]	20	4	200	8	8	6	10	DT
ATD[20, 4]	20	5	160	10	2^7	4	8	DT
ATD[20, 5]	20	10	80	20	2^{19}	4	10	DT
ATD[21, 3]	21	3	294	6	8	6	9	DT
ATD[21, 4]	21	7	126	14	8	6	7	DT
ATD[22, 1]	22	11	88	22	2^{21}	4	11	DT
ATD[24, 1]	24	6	192	12	8	6	8	DT
ATD[24, 3]	24	8	144	16	8	6	8	DT
ATD[24, 4]	24	6	192	12	8	6	8	DT
ATD[24, 5]	24	4	288	8	8	6	8	DT
ATD[24, 12]	24	4	288	8	8	6	12	DT
ATD[24, 14]	24	6	192	12	2^9	4	8	DT
ATD[24, 15]	24	12	96	24	2^{23}	4	12	DT
ATD[25, 1]	25	5	250	10	24	6	9	DT
ATD[26, 2]	26	13	104	26	2^{25}	4	13	DT
ATD[27, 3]	27	9	162	18	8	6	9	DT
ATD[28, 3]	28	7	224	14	2^{11}	4	8	DT
ATD[28, 4]	28	14	112	28	2^{27}	4	14	DT
ATD[30, 1]	30	6	300	12	8	6	10	DT
ATD[30, 3]	30	10	180	20	8	6	10	DT
ATD[30, 4]	30	6	300	12	8	8	8	DT
ATD[30, 7]	30	15	120	30	2^{29}	4	15	DT
ATD[32, 3]	32	8	256	16	8	6	8	DT
ATD[32, 5]	32	8	256	16	8	6	8	DT
ATD[32, 12]	32	8	256	16	2^{13}	4	8	DT
ATD[32, 13]	32	16	128	32	2^{31}	4	16	DT
ATD[33, 2]	33	11	198	22	8	6	11	DT
ATD[34, 2]	34	17	136	34	2^{33}	4	17	DT
ATD[36, 8]	36	12	216	24	8	6	12	DT
ATD[36, 13]	36	9	288	18	2^{15}	4	9	DT
ATD[36, 14]	36	18	144	36	2^{35}	4	18	DT
ATD[38, 1]	38	19	152	38	2^{37}	4	19	DT
ATD[39, 4]	39	13	234	26	8	6	13	DT
ATD[40, 4]	40	10	320	20	8	6	10	DT
ATD[40, 7]	40	10	320	20	8	6	10	DT
ATD[40, 13]	40	10	320	20	2^{17}	4	10	DT
ATD[40, 14]	40	20	160	40	2^{39}	4	20	DT
ATD[42, 4]	42	14	252	28	8	6	14	DT
ATD[42, 7]	42	21	168	42	2^{41}	4	21	DT
ATD[44, 4]	44	22	176	44	2^{43}	4	22	DT
ATD[45, 3]	45	15	270	30	8	6	15	DT
ATD[46, 1]	46	23	184	46	2^{45}	4	23	DT
ATD[48, 4]	48	16	288	32	8	6	16	DT
ATD[48, 31]	48	24	192	48	2^{47}	4	24	DT
ATD[50, 5]	50	25	200	50	2^{49}	4	25	DT
ATD[51, 2]	51	17	306	34	8	6	17	DT
ATD[52, 5]	52	26	208	52	2^{51}	4	26	DT
ATD[54, 7]	54	18	324	36	8	6	18	DT
ATD[54, 10]	54	27	216	54	2^{53}	4	27	DT
ATD[56, 11]	56	28	224	56	2^{55}	4	28	DT
ATD[58, 2]	58	29	232	58	2^{57}	4	29	DT
ATD[60, 27]	60	30	240	60	2^{59}	4	30	DT

TABLE 2. Small examples of $\Delta\#\Delta$ for reversible Δ.

Δ			$\Gamma = \Delta\#\Delta$					
Name	V	AP	V	AP	vs	girth	diam	SymType
ATD[21, 1]	21	3	294	6	4	6	8	HT
ATD[27, 1]	27	3	486	6	4	8	8	HT
ATD[39, 1]	39	3	1014	6	4	6	10	HT
ATD[42, 1]	42	6	588	12	4	8	8	HT
ATD[54, 1]	54	6	972	12	4	8	10	HT
ATD[55, 1]	55	5	1210	10	4	8	9	HT
ATD[55, 3]	55	5	1210	10	4	8	9	HT
ATD[57, 1]	57	3	2166	6	4	6	12	HT
ATD[60, 1]	60	4	1800	8	4	8	10	HT
ATD[63, 1]	63	9	882	18	4	8	9	HT
ATD[63, 3]	63	3	2646	6	4	6	12	HT
ATD[68, 1]	68	4	2312	8	4	8	10	HT
ATD[72, 1]	72	2	5184	4	4	8	11	HT
ATD[78, 1]	78	6	2028	12	4	8	10	HT
ATD[78, 3]	78	6	2028	12	4	8	10	HT
ATD[80, 1]	80	4	3200	8	4	8	12	HT
ATD[80, 3]	80	4	3200	8	4	8	12	HT
ATD[81, 1]	81	9	1458	18	4	8	10	HT
ATD[81, 3]	81	3	4374	6	4	8	13	HT
ATD[84, 1]	84	3	4704	6	4	6	14	HT
ATD[84, 3]	84	12	1176	24	4	8	12	HT
ATD[84, 5]	84	6	2352	12	4	8	12	HT
ATD[84, 18]	84	6	2352	12	4	8	12	HT
ATD[93, 1]	93	3	5766	6	4	6	15	HT
ATD[100, 1]	100	4	5000	8	4	8	12	HT

TABLE 3. Small examples of $\Delta\#\Delta$ for non-reversible Δ.

Δ			$\Gamma = \Delta\#\Delta^{-1}$					
Name	V	AP	V	AP	vs	girth	diam	SymType
ATD[21, 1]	21	3	294	6	8	6	8	SS
ATD[27, 1]	27	3	486	6	8	8	8	SS
ATD[39, 1]	39	3	1014	6	8	6	10	SS
ATD[42, 1]	42	6	588	12	8	8	8	SS
ATD[54, 1]	54	6	972	12	8	8	10	SS
ATD[55, 1]	55	5	1210	10	8	8	9	SS
ATD[55, 3]	55	5	1210	10	8	8	9	SS
ATD[57, 1]	57	3	2166	6	8	6	12	SS
ATD[60, 1]	60	4	1800	8	8	8	10	SS
ATD[63, 1]	63	9	882	18	8	8	9	SS
ATD[63, 3]	63	3	2646	6	8	6	12	SS
ATD[68, 1]	68	4	2312	8	8	8	10	SS
ATD[72, 1]	72	2	5184	4	8	8	12	SS
ATD[78, 1]	78	6	2028	12	8	8	10	SS
ATD[78, 3]	78	6	2028	12	8	8	10	SS
ATD[80, 1]	80	4	3200	8	8	8	12	SS
ATD[80, 3]	80	4	3200	8	8	8	12	SS
ATD[81, 1]	81	9	1458	18	8	8	10	SS
ATD[81, 3]	81	3	4374	6	8	8	13	SS
ATD[84, 1]	84	3	4704	6	8	6	14	SS
ATD[84, 3]	84	12	1176	24	8	8	12	SS
ATD[84, 5]	84	6	2352	12	8	8	12	SS
ATD[84, 18]	84	6	2352	12	8	8	12	SS
ATD[93, 1]	93	3	5766	6	8	6	15	SS
ATD[100, 1]	100	4	5000	8	8	8	12	SS

TABLE 4. Small examples of $\Delta\#\Delta^{-1}$ for Δ non-reversible.

REFERENCES

[1] I. Z. Bouwer, Vertex and edge transitive, but not 1-transitive, graphs. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **13** (1970), 231–237.

[2] M. D. E. Conder, P. Potočnik, P. Šparl, *Some recent discoveries about half-arc-transitive graphs*, Ars Mathematica Contemporanea **8** (2015), 149–162.

[3] M. D. E. Conder, A. Žitnik, Half-arc-transitive graphs of arbitrary even valency greater than 2, arXiv:1505.02299 [math.CO]
[4] J. Folkman, Regular line-symmetric graphs, *J. Combin. Theory* **3** (1967), 215–232.
[5] R. Hammack, S. Klavžar, W. Imrich, *Handbook of product graphs*, CRC Press, Boca Raton (2011).
[6] A. Hill, S. Wilson, Four constructions of highly symmetric graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 71 (2012), no. 3, 229244.
[7] A. Malnič, D. Marušič, N. Seifter, P. Šparl, B. Zgrablić, Reachibility relations in digraphs, *European J. Combin.* **29** (2008), 1566–1581.
[8] A. Malnič, N. Seifter, P. Potočnik, P. Šparl, Reachibility relations, transitive digraphs and groups, *Ars Math. Contemporanea* **8** (2015), 83–94.
[9] D. Marušič, Half-transitive group actions on finite graphs of valency 4, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **73** (1998), 41–76.
[10] D. Marušič and R. Nedela, Partial line graph operator and half-arc-transitive group actions, *Math. Slovaca* **51** (2001), 241–257.
[11] D. Marušič, P. Potočnik, Bridging semisymmetric and half-arc-transitive ac- tions on graphs, European J. Combin. **23** (2002), 719–732.
[12] D. Marušič, R. Scapellato, N. Zagaglia Salvi, A characterization of particular symmetric $(0, 1)$ matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **119** (1989), 153–162.
[13] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G Verret, Bounding the order of the vertex-stabiliser in 3-valent vertex-transitive and 4-valent arc-transitive graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B.* **111** (2015), 148–180.
[14] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret, A census of 4-valent half-arc-transitive graphs and arc-transitive digraphs of valence two, *Ars Math. Contemporanea* **8** (2015), 133–148.
[15] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret, Census of 2-valent arc-transitive digraphs, http://www.fmf.uni-lj.si/∼potocnik/work.htm.
[16] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret, *Cubic vertex-transitive graphs on up to* 1280 *vertices*, J. Symbolic Comp. **50** (2013) 465–477.
[17] P. Potočnik, S. Wilson, Tetravalent edge-transitive graphs of girth at most 4, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.*, **97** (2007), 217–236.
[18] P. Potočnik and S. Wilson, Linking Rings Structures and tetravalent semisymmetric graphs, *Ars Mathematica Contemporanea* **7** (2014), 341–352.
[19] P. Potočnik, S. Wilson, Linking rings structures and semisymmetric graphs: Cayley constructions, *European J. Combin.* **51** (2016), 84–98.
[20] P. Potočnik and S. Wilson, A Census of edge-transitive tetravalent graphs, `http://jan.ucc.nau.edu/~swilson/C4Site/index.html`
[21] J. A. Al-bar , A. N. Al-kenani, N. M. Muthana, C. E. Praeger, P. Spiga, Finite edge-transitive oriented graphs of valency four: a global approach, arXiv:1507.02170 [math.CO]
[22] P. Spiga, G. Verret, On the order of vertex-stabilisers in vertex-transitive graphs with local group $\mathrm{C}_p \times \mathrm{C}_p$ or $\mathrm{C}_p \operatorname{wr} \mathrm{C}_2$, arXiv:1311.4308 [math.CO]
[23] P. Šparl, A classification of tightly attached half-arc-transitive graphs of valency 4, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **98** (2008), 1076–1108.
[24] D. B. Surowski, Stability of arc-transitive graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **38** (2001) 95–110.
[25] W. T. Tutte, *Connectivity in graphs*, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1966.
[26] S. Wilson, Unexpected symmetries in unstable graphs *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* **98** (2008), 359–383.

Primož Potočnik,
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana,
Jadranska 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia;
also affiliated with:
IAM, University of Primorska,
Muzejski trg 2, SI-6000 Koper, Slovenia;
and:
IMFM, Jadranska 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia.
E-mail address: primoz.potocnik@fmf.uni-lj.si

Steve Wilson,
Northern Arizona University, Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Box 5717, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA
also affiliated with:
FAMNIT, University of Primorska,
Glagoljaška 8, SI-6000 Koper, Slovenia;
E-mail address: stephen.wilson@nau.edu